

# Mount Kemble Lake

2019 Year End Water Quality Summary Mount Kemble Lake Association, Inc. Morristown, NJ December 5, 2019

Fred Luberto Mount Kemble Lake Association 10 Lake Trail West Morristown, NJ 07960

#### YEAR END SUMMARY 2019 WATER QUALITY PROGRAM MOUNT KEMBLE LAKE

#### INTRODUCTION

The following report is the 2019 Year-End Summary of the Water Quality Monitoring and Lake Management Program for Mount Kemble Lake located in Morristown, Morris County, New Jersey. This report includes the details of lake surveys, water quality monitoring program, phytoplankton surveys, and observations logged during visits to the lake throughout the season. Recommendations for Mount Kemble Lake management efforts are also included for lake management strategies in the 2020 season. The Appendix of this report includes graphs and tables of field data, reference guides, along with supporting documents for this report.

The Lake Management Program for Mount Kemble Lake focused on control of nuisance and invasive aquatic plant growth, most specifically curly-leaf pondweed (*Potamogeton crispus*), leafy pondweed (*Potamogeton foliosus*), and


Scientific Name	Common Name
Potamogeton foliosus	Leafy Pondweed
Potamogeton crispus	Curly-leaf Pondweed
Lemna minor	Small Duckweed
Najas guadalupensis	Southern Naiad
Table 1, 2010 Observed Aquatia M	laarankutaa


Table 1. 2019 Observed Aquatic Macrophytes.

southern naiad (*Najas guadalupensis*) during the 2019 season. Through the season a total of four (4) different aquatic macrophytes were observed during surveys of the lake (Table 1), with invasive species highlighted in red. One (1) of these species duckweed (*Lemna minor*) is a floating aquatic plant.

#### WEATHER DISCUSSION

In 2019, the weather was similar to the previous year with the main difference being the number of months that reported temperatures above average. Only two of the months were slightly below average. 2019 was the 5<sup>th</sup> warmest summer since 1895 and some of the warmest weather occurred at the beginning and the end of the season with a long warm spell through the end of September continuing into October. (Figure 1 Rutgers Climate Lab). Precipitation was above average for the majority of the year making it one of the wetter summers in recent years. Early season precipitation was near or just below average as the season began. Along with warm late season temperatures, the state also experienced one of the driest Septembers that has been recorded. This made late season lake management more challenging than in other years. (Figure 2 Rutgers Climate Lab).





#### LAKE MANAGEMENT

Aquatic biologists were at Mount Kemble Lake on nine (9) dates from April through September to conduct on-water assessments of aquatic vegetation and algae growth, and to perform *in situ* water quality analysis. On three (3) dates, comprehensive water quality analysis was conducted including, sampling for planktonic algae and zooplankton, lab sample collection and lake profile analysis for temperature and dissolved oxygen. Following each survey, biologists would review lake conditions to determine if management activity was required or requested. In 2019, SŌLitude Lake Management field staff conducted herbicide or algaecide applications for control of nuisance and invasive aquatic vegetation growth during three (3) of the total nine (9). One (1) visit was for the application of aluminum sulfate to strip the water column of nutrients that help support nuisance plant growth. The table below provides a reference to indicate dates of applications, what aquatic pesticides were applied, and the target acreage and aquatic plant species for each date (Table 2).

Date	Service Performed	<b>Acres Treated</b>	Target Species
5/15/2019	Aluminum Sulfate	13.4	Nutrients
6/4/2019	Tribune	2	Curly-leaf Pondweed
7/10/2019	Copper Sulfate	6.5	Filamentous algae
8/8/2019	Cutrine Plus	6.5	Filamentous algae

Table 2: Mount Kemble Lake 2019 Treatment Log

In mid-May, before there was any plant or algae growth, an aluminum sulfate treatment was performed at Mount Kemble Lake. The treatment was conducted to help strip the water column of the nutrients that plants and algae require to grow. Water clarity improves almost immediately as a result of the treatment. June 4<sup>th</sup> marked the first treatment that needed to be performed and at that time the lake supported small patches of curly-leaf pond weed (*P. crispus*) primarily along portions of both the east and west shorelines. There was limited algae growth observed during this visit and only **Tribune** was utilized to reduce the shoreline plant growth. The next lake treatment was performed on July 10<sup>th</sup> and at that time the lake was supporting growth of filamentous algae scattered around the surface. The previous months treatment of curly-leaf pondweed was successful as only a few stems were remaining. Treatment for algae was performed using **Copper Sulfate**. One month later in the early portion of August, the lake was once again in need of a filamentous algae treatment and this was conducted with **Copper Sulfate** as well. There once again was no observable plant growth at the time of the survey. Surveys conducted for the remainder of the year reported minimal plant or algae growth that did not warrant any treatments.

#### WATER QUALITY MONITORING PROGRAM

In 2019, the water quality monitoring program included *in-situ* field measured limnological analysis including temperature/dissolved oxygen profiles, pH, transparency, alkalinity, and total hardness. In addition, surface water chemistry samples were collected at the north inlet and lake station, as well as from the lake bottom at the lake station site, and transported to Alpha Laboratories (Mahwah, New Jersey) for analysis of the following parameters: ammonia, conductivity, nitrate, total phosphorus, and total suspended solids. Collection for phytoplankton and zooplankton identification and enumeration was also performed on three dates. Provided in the Appendix is a short description of each water quality parameter, and laboratory data results. Below is the water quality data tabulated to provide a seasonal reference.

### WATER QUALITY DATA TABLES

Mount Kemble Lake Wat	er Quality Re	sults- Surface			
Parameter	Units	4/18/2019	6/4/2019	8/8/2019	Limits
Temperature	°C	14.1	19.7	26.1	NA
Dissolved Oxygen	mg/L	10.25	12.27	7.32	<4.0
pH	SU	8.25	8.50	8.50	9
Alkalinity	mg/L	60	72	64	NA
Total Hardness	mg/L	200	120	160	NA
Transparency	feet	5.0	5.0	7.0	<4'
Ammonia	mg/L	0.097	0.076	ND	0.3
Conductivity	umhos/cm	340	260	290	1500
Nitrate	mg/L	0.929	0.775	ND	0.3
Total Phosphorous	mg/L	0.021	0.025	0.026	0.03
Total Suspended Solids	mg/L	ND	ND	ND	25

Table 3. 2019 Mount Kemble Lake Water Quality Results

Results highlighted in red identify those that are outside the acceptable lake management limit.

Mount Kemble Lake Wat	er Quality Re	sults- Bottom			
Parameter	Units	4/18/2019	6/4/2019	8/8/2019	Limits
Temperature	°C	5.8	6.9	8.6	NA
Dissolved Oxygen	mg/L	2.59	0.05	0.59	<4.0
pH	SU	7.50	7.50	7.00	9
Alkalinity	mg/L	72	64	64	NA
Total Hardness	mg/L	140	120	120	NA
Transparency	feet	5.0	5.0	7.0	<4'
Ammonia	mg/L	0.76	0.214	0.914	0.3
Conductivity	umhos/cm	360	300	370	1500
Nitrate	mg/L	0.894	0.604	ND	0.3
Total Phosphorous	mg/L	0.025	0.025	0.042	0.03
Total Suspended Solids	mg/L	ND	5.9	12.0	25

Table 4. 2019 Mount Kemble Lake Water Quality Results

Results highlighted in red identify those that are outside the acceptable lake management limit.

Mt. Kemble Lake Water G					
Parameter	8/8/2019	Limits			
Total Phosphorous	mg/L	0.023	0.029	0.026	0.03

Table 5. 2019 Mount Kemble Lake Water Quality Results

Results highlighted in red identify those that are outside the acceptable lake management limit.

Mt. Kemble Lake Water G			
Parameter	8/8/2019	Limits	
Total Phosphorous	mg/L	0.093	0.03

 Table 6. 2019 Mount Kemble Lake Water Quality Results

Results highlighted in red identify those that are outside the acceptable lake management limit.

Mt. Kemble Lake Water C				
Parameter	8/8/2019	Limits		
Total Phosphorous	mg/L	0.022	0.088	0.03

Table 7. 2019 Mount Kemble Lake Water Quality Results

Results highlighted in red identify those that are outside the acceptable lake management limit.

Mt. Kemble Lake Water Quality Results–Upstream Site D							
Parameter	8/8/2019	Limits					
Total Phosphorous	osphorous mg/L 0.018 0.024 0.074						

 Table 8. 2019 Mount Kemble Lake Water Quality Results

Results highlighted in red identify those that are outside the acceptable lake management limit.

Mt. Kemble Lake Water Quality Results- Outlet Station						
ParameterUnits4/18/2019Limits						
Total Phosphorous	mg/L	0.019	0.03			

Table 9. 2019 Mount Kemble Lake Water Quality Results

Results highlighted in red identify those that are outside the acceptable lake management limit.

Mt. Kemble Lake Water Quality Results- Outlet Station					
ParameterUnits4/18/2019Limits					
Total Phosphorous	mg/L	0.020	0.03		

Table 10. 2019 Mount Kemble Lake Water Quality Results

Results highlighted in red identify those that are outside the acceptable lake management limit.

#### WATER QUALITY RESULTS SUMMARY

During 2019, the surface water temperature was 14.1° C in April, and by August the temperature had increased to 26.1 °C. The pH values collected from the inlet and lake station sites throughout the year were consistent with a small range of 8.25 to 8.5, which falls within the typical range for freshwater lake systems, and is within historical readings of the past several years for Mt. Kemble Lake. The hardness levels were similar to last year, ranging from 120 mg/L to 200 mg/L. The typical range characteristics to freshwater lakes are those falling between 4 and 200mg/L, which falls in line with typical readings for the lake.

The chemical composition of Mount Kemble Lake's surface water is considered moderately hard water. The alkalinity values remained consistent throughout the year from 52 to 76 mg/L, and within a comparable level compared to similar NJ freshwater lakes' chemical composition. Conductivity was consistent throughout the season with values ranging from 280 to 390  $\mu$ mhos/cm., with the highest observed value obtained in the August bottom lake station location sample. These conductivity readings would be considered relatively stable as there was not much fluctuation throughout the season. Ammonia and nitrates are nutrients based on the chemical composition of nitrogen. These naturally occurring compounds when influenced by human activity can cause excessive plant and algae growth. Throughout the season, in most locations, ammonia levels were within the acceptable limits, but in 2 samples at the lake station bottom sampling site they were above acceptable limits, which is generally not typical for the lake. Although levels were

higher than normal it did not seem to have adverse effects on the lake. Nitrates were found to be elevated throughout the season with numbers well above the limit in during sampling. The first two sampling events at both the surface and bottom sampling stations showed elevated results before dropping down to non-detectable levels during the final sampling.

Total phosphorus is usually present in freshwater lakes at low concentrations. Total phosphorus concentrations in a freshwater lake system should be less than 0.03 mg/L to prevent higher productivity. In 2019, the phosphorus levels were observed to be below the acceptable limit with the exception of the final sampling at the bottom station, in which results were slightly above the acceptable limits.

During the 2019 season, 6 (six) additional phosphorus sampling locations were added to the water quality program. Four (4) of them were taken up stream, one was taken at the lake inlet, and the final from the lake outlet. The samples taken at the lake inlet and outlet showed results that were below the acceptable limit for total phosphorus. The upstream sites totaled 12 (twelve) samples throughout the season. Of the 12 (twelve) samples taken, four (4) reported results that were above the acceptable limits. Sites B & D showed elevated phosphorus levels during the August sampling while Site C reported elevated samples in both the June and August samplings. Site A was below the acceptable limits for the season. Based on the results obtained throughout the year it does show that in the latter portion of the summer some of the phosphorus in the lake could be washing in from upstream. Continued monitoring of the upstream areas will help to gain a better understanding of how much phosphorus is entering the lake basin and can be helpful in determining management strategies in future seasons.

Oligotrophic <0.012mg/L	Mesotrophic 0.012 - 0.024mg/L	1	Hypereutrophic >0.096mg/L
Very Good	Good	Fair	Impoundments

Table 11: Trophic Status Based on Phosphorus Values

Transparency (water clarity) displayed little variability in 2018, with observed secchi readings between 2 and 4.5 feet. Mt. Kemble Lake typically supports lake conditions that favor relatively high water clarity readings, however, in 2018 clarity readings were lower than usual as the highest clarity reading was 4.5 feet and the majority of the season was closer to a 3 foot reading. Lower water clarity readings were likely due to the higher than average amounts of planktonic algae that was observed in the water column for the majority of the season. Total suspended solids were all below the thresholds throughout the season for Mt. Kemble Lake.

#### LAKE PROFILE DESCRIPTION

	4/18	/2019	6/04	/2019	8/08	/2019
Depth	Temp.	DO	Temp.	DO	Temp.	DO
(ft)	(°C)	(mg/L)	(°C)	(mg/L)	(°C)	(mg/L)
Surface	14.1	10.25	19.7	12.27	26.1	7.32
2	14.2	10.23	19.7	12.31	26.2	7.32
4	14.2	10.20	19.7	12.37	26.2	7.34
6	13.6	10.13	17.8	9.69	26.2	7.24
8	12.6	11.82	15.7	11.45	25.2	6.55
10	11.1	12.26	13.2	8.76	24.2	4.20
12	10.2	12.37	11.5	5.00	18.0	0.45
14	9.6	12.70	10.7	4.20	14.0	0.50
16	8.8	13.22	9.5	2.97	11.0	0.56
18	7.5	11.44	8.5	0.91	9.9	0.58
20	7.0	9.13	7.8	0.60	9.0	0.60
22	6.5	7.35	7.3	0.06	8.4	0.57
24	6.2	4.81	7.0	0.05	8.6	0.54
26	5.8	2.59	6.9	0.05	NA	NA
Table 12-2	010 Mt Ko	mbla I aka D	rofiloc	feet, ho	wever d	uring thi

In 2019, the April profile revealed a well mixed water with favorable column, dissolved oxygen to a depth of 30 feet, which was similar to what was even better than in 2017 when favorable dissolved oxygen levels were observed to depths of 24 feet. During June, the lake profile revealed what is called a positive heterograde curve, which simply means that the water quality conditions of the lake depleted dissolved oxygen below a depth of approximately twelve

Table 12. 2019 Mt. Kemble Lake Profiles

feet, however during this season, the dissolved oxygen levels were extremely low at depths of only 6 feet. This type

of water quality condition is observed most frequently in lakes where the surface area is small relative to the maximum depth and protected from intense wind action by surrounding topography and vegetation, which is descriptive of Mt. Kemble Lake. Overall, this pattern remained the same for the rest of the season as dissolved oxygen levels dropped significantly after 6 feet of depth. Complete profile graphs are provided in the Appendix of this report.




Figure 3. Mount Kemble Lake Seasonal Profile

### **PLANKTON SURVEYS**

Phytoplankton and Zooplankton surveys were conducted at Mount Kemble Lake in conjunction with the water quality monitoring program. In 2019, surface phytoplankton samples were collected at two established water quality monitoring sites in April, June, and August. Samples were collected in dedicated, pre-rinsed one-liter plastic bottles and placed in a cooler with ice for transport. The samples were identified and enumerated under a compound microscope immediately upon return to SŌLitude Lake Managements' laboratory. The 2019 microscopic examination data sheets and graphs are provided in the Appendix. In 2019, a single vertical zooplankton tow was conducted at the lake station on each date. The collected sample was preserved in the field and returned to SŌLitude's lab for analysis.

# **A PHYTOPLANKTON PRIMER**


Lakes typically contain three broad categories of phytoplankton (also sometimes referred to as algae). These include filamentous phytoplankton, macroscopic multi-branched phytoplankton (which appear similar to submersed plants), and unicellular phytoplankton. Each category shall be discussed in turn, although the results of the 2019 sampling will focus on the unicellular phytoplankton population.

Filamentous phytoplankton are typically macroscopic (that is, visible with the naked eye), composed of long chains of cells that are attached to a substrate, typically the lake bottom, submersed or emergent vegetation, or rocks. This is called benthic filamentous algae (BFA), and rampant growth can become visible at the surface. As pieces of benthic filamentous algae break apart, it often floats on the surface as dense unsightly mats called floating filamentous algae (FFA). Typically, genera of green algae or blue-green algae develop into nuisance filamentous mats. Abundant nuisance growth of filamentous phytoplankton creates numerous negative impacts to a lake. These can include a decrease in aesthetics, a decrease in recreational uses, increased fishing frustration, and water quality degradation.

Macroscopic multi-branched phytoplankton appears to be submersed plants, especially when viewed in the water column. Physical examination reveals simple structures, no conductive tissue, and a lack of roots (instead having simplified rhizoids). Although typically only reaching heights of a few inches, under ideal conditions, this type of phytoplankton can reach lengths of several feet, and create a dense carpet on the bottom of a lake. Therefore, it typically does not reach nuisance levels in a lake, save for high use areas such as beaches and other popular swim areas. Since this phytoplankton occupies a similar ecological niche as submersed plants, it's often included in detailed and visual aquatic plant surveys. It provides numerous benefits to a lake system, including sediment stabilization, acting as a nutrient sink, providing invertebrate and fish shelter and habitat, and is one of the first to re-colonize a disturbed area. In the Northeast, muskgrass (*Chara* sp.) and stonewort (*Nitella* sp.) are two of the most common macroscopic multi-branched phytoplankton.

Unicellular phytoplankton are typically microscopic, and consist of individual cells or colonies of cells suspended in the water column. At high enough densities (often called a bloom), they can impart a green or brown (and sometimes, even red) tint to the water column. Unicellular phytoplankton belongs to several taxonomic groups with density and diversity of these groups often varying due to seasonality. When unicellular phytoplankton density becomes elevated it can reduce water clarity (giving the water a "pea soup" appearance), and impart undesirable odors. Usually blue-green algae are responsible for these odors, but other groups or extremely elevated densities can impart them as well. In addition to decreased aesthetics, unicellular phytoplankton blooms can cause degradation of water quality, increase the water temperature (turbid water warms faster than clear water), and can possibly produce a variety of toxins (in the case of blue-green

algae), depending on the type of genera present and environmental conditions. Numerous groups of unicellular phytoplankton are common in the Northeast, including diatoms, golden algae, green algae, blue-green algae, euglenoids and dinoflagellates. Each group shall be discussed in turn.




**Diatoms** are ubiquitous as a group, and often possess a rigid silica shell with ornate cell wall markings or etchings. The silica shells settle to the bottom substrate after they die, and under ideal conditions can become stratified. Limnologists can then study historical (and possibly even ancient) population characteristics of diatoms. Some are round and cylindrical (centric) in shape, while others are long and wingshaped (pennales). They are usually brown in color, and reach maximum abundance in colder or acidic water. Therefore,

they tend to dominate in winter and early spring. Common diatoms in the Northeast include *Fragilaria, Cyclotella, Navicula*, and *Asterionella* (pictured).




**Golden Algae** are typically yellow or light brown in color. Cell size is usually small oval shaped with a partially empty area, but several genera create colonies of smaller cells. Most have two flagella, and some type of scales or a rigid coating that grants it a fuzzy appearance. However, a few filamentous forms are possible as well. They typically prefer cooler water, so they dominate in the late fall, winter, or early spring. They also tend to bloom at deeper (cooler) depths. They are

common in low nutrient water, and numerous forms produce taste and odor compounds. Common golden algae in the Northeast include *Dinobryon* (pictured), *Mallomonas*, and *Synura*.



**Green Algae** are a very diverse group of unicellular phytoplankton. There is tremendous variability in this group which varies from family to family and sometimes even genus to genus. There are flagellated single cells, multi-cell colonies (some motile), filamentous forms and attached forms, typically with distinct cell shapes light green in color. Some prefer acidic waters, and others highly eutrophic (sewage) conditions. A green algae bloom usually occurs in water with high nitrogen levels. Green algae typically dominate in mid

to late summer in the Northeast. Common genera include *Chlorella*, *Scenedesmus*, *Spirogyra* and *Pediastrum* (pictured).




**Blue-green algae** are actually photosynthetic bacteria. Therefore, they tend to be small, simple in structure and lacking interior cell details. Blue-green algae are typically encased in a mucilaginous outer layer. Some genera are adorned with heterocysts, swollen structures capable of fixing nitrogen, a competitive advantage. These types tend to bloom in nitrogen-poor or eutrophic systems. Yet, blue-green algae are tolerant of a wide variety of water chemistries, and boast many oligotrophic forms as well. Blue-green algae often have

gas vesicles which provide increased buoyancy another competitive advantage over other groups of phytoplankton, due to their propensity to shade out others by blooming at the surface. Numerous blue-green algae are documented taste and odor (T&O) producers, and under certain environmental conditions and high enough densities, can produce toxins dangerous to fish, livestock, and possibly humans. Blue-green algae typically dominate a lake system in late summer to early fall. Common blue-green algae that occur in the Northeast include *Anabaena* (pictured), *Aphanizomenon, Microcystis* and *Coelosphaerium*.



**Euglenoids** are typically motile with 0 to 3 (typically 2) flagella, one of which is longer. Euglenoids has plasticity of shape, and usually are grass green in color (although sometime they are clear or even red). Most forms have a distinct red "eyespot. They are often associated with high organic content water, and eutrophic conditions. Common euglenoids that occur in the Northeast include *Euglena* 

(pictured), Phacus, and Trachelomonas.



**Dinoflagellates** are very common in marine environments, in which they often cause toxic blooms. However, toxin production in freshwater genera is very rare. Dinoflagellates are typically single ovoid to spherical cells, but large compared to phytoplankton from other groups. They usually possess two flagella (one wrapped around the middle of the cell) which grant them rotation while they move through the water column. Cellulose plates (armored dinoflagellates) are more common, but

genera without cellulose plates (naked dinoflagellates) also occur. They generally prefer organicrich or acidic waters, and can impart a coffee-like brown tint to the water at high enough densities. Common dinoflagellates in the Northeast include *Ceratium* (pictured) and *Peridinium*.

#### **PHYTOPLANKTON RESULTS**

In April of 2019, the phytoplankton density was considered light and favorable at both the inlet and lake station sampling sites. at the inlet station and high at the lake station. Diversity would also be considered low with only three (3) and four (4) genera observed, respectively. Diatoms accounted for 100% of both samples with the most commonly observed generas being *Asterionella* and *Navicula*. The second

Algal Group			
% Abundance	4/18/19	6/04/19	8/08/19
Diatoms	100.0%	18.2%	11.1%
Golden Algae		49.1%	
Protozoa			
Green Algae			24.1%
Blue-green Algae			
Dinoflagellates		30.9%	64.8%
Euglenoids		1.8%	
Total Orgs / mL	100	550	540

sampling occurred in June and at the time the inlet station was supporting a moderate density of algal growth. Diversity had increased slightly to five (5) genera, but overall did not increase much since the initial sampling. The most commonly observed genera was the golden algae, *Mallomonas*. There was no data for the lake station during this sampling event.

Algal Group			
% Abundance	4/18/19	6/04/19	8/08/19
Diatoms	96.2%		22.5%
Golden Algae			
Protozoa			
Green Algae	3.8%		40.0%
Blue-green Algae			12.5%
Dinoflagellates			25.0%
Euglenoids			
Total Orgs. / mL	260	NA	400

For the August sampling event, the phytoplankton density remained moderate at both locations. At site A the diversity remained the same as it was in the previous month, however at site B the diversity increased to seven (7) genera, which was the highest observed total for the 2019 season. The most commonly observed genera at the inlet station was the dinoflagellate, *Peridinium*, accounting

for over half of the entire sample. At the lake station the dominant genera was the green algae, *Ulothrix*.

# **A ZOOPLANKTON PRIMER**

Zooplankton provides an important link in a typical lake's food web between phytoplankton and developing/juvenile stages of fish. In general, zooplankton feed on phytoplankton, while fish in turn feed on zooplankton. The rate of phytoplankton feeding efficiency is primarily based on body size, but zooplankton group, and to some effect specific genera, also plays an important role. There are three main groups of zooplankton found in freshwater systems: rotifers, cladocera, and copepods.




Rotifers are a diverse group of zooplankton, very common in lakes and marine environments alike. Rotifers are generally the smallest zooplankton of the three groups, and thus typically the least efficient phytoplankton grazers. Feeding preferences are determined primarily by mouth structures, and include generalist feeders (omnivores), which eat any small organic detritus encountered, and predators, which eat other smaller rotifers and small phytoplankton. Generalist feeders include *Filinia, Keratella, Lecane, Euchlanis*, and *Brachionus*. Predator genera include *Polyarthra* (larger species), *Asplanchna, Synchaeta*, and

Trichocerca.

*Cladocera* are less diverse, but also very common in freshwater lakes. They are sometimes called "water fleas". They spend most of their lifecycle reproducing via parthenogenesis (asexual reproduction with an all female population) only switching to less efficient sexual reproduction when environmental conditions decline. Some genera (such as *Daphnia*) can be quite large (up to 5.0 mm long, visible without magnification), and thus can be classified as highly efficient phytoplankton grazers. Most cladocera are phytoplankton grazers, although their diet includes most organic matter ingested, including bacteria and protozoa. Body size (and thus mouth size) determines feeding efficiency, but ironically the larger-bodied genera are easier to



see by predaceous fish, and thus typically have reduced numbers in populations of zooplanktivorous fish. *Daphnia* are the most efficient phytoplankton feeders, while *Ceriodaphnia*, *Bosmina* and *Eubosmina* are less efficient. There are a few predator genera as well, including *Polyphemus* and *Leptodora*.



Copepods are almost excusive to freshwater lake systems (not streams or rivers) and estuarine and marine systems. Of the six suborders native to the United States, three are parasitic, and three are free living. One of the free living, *Harpacticoida* are exclusively benthic and thus often not collected in traditional plankton tows (unless the bottom sediments are disturbed). The remaining two suborders, the Calanoida and the Cyclopoida are of primary concern during lake studies. All copepods have several naupilar stages, followed by several immature stages, before reaching an adult stage. Both suborder adults are considered large bodied zooplankton, but have distinct feeding preferences. Calanoids are almost exclusively phytoplankton feeders and have even demonstrated selective feeding strategies. Cyclopoids have mouth parts suitable for biting and seizing prey. Their diet is primarily other crustacean zooplankton (including cannibalism

on younger life stages), as well as phytoplankton and organic detritus ingestion, but less efficiently.

Zooplankton samples were collected with an 80 um Nitex plankton net. At the Lake Station, a single vertical tow was performed to a depth of 18 feet. Using as little site water as possible, the sides of the net were rinsed of any trapped zooplankton, concentrating the organisms into the net bottom. This concentrate was then emptied into a clean 1000 mL HDPE sample bottle. Immediately after collection, the sample was preserved with an equal amount of 10% sucrose formalin, to achieve a 5% solution. Sucrose was added to the preservative to help maintain carapace integrity. The samples were then placed in a cooler stocked with blue ice. On arrival at SŌLitude's laboratory, the samples were stored in a dark refrigerator until the samples were identified and enumerated.

In the laboratory, each sample was manually mixed for about one minute, before a one mL subsample was removed using a calibrated syringe. The subsample was placed on a Sedgewick-Rafter counting cell, and examined under a compound microscope at 100X magnification. By using calibrated guides on the microscope stage, the entire one mL sample was examined, and any zooplankton were identified and enumerated to the lowest practical taxa using regionally appropriate taxonomic keys. This procedure was repeated two more times to generate three replicate counts. The counts were then averaged, and back-calculated to achieve an organism per liter density. The zooplankton count data sheets in the Appendix describe the step by step procedures for all three replicates, and the final averaged densities. Also, included in the Appendix are pie charts depicting the sample date zooplankton group distribution.

#### **2019 Zooplankton Results**

Zooplankton Group	4/18/2019	6/04/2019	8/08/2019
Rotifers	55.4%	83.6%	86.7%
Cladocera	9.9%	3.6%	3.9%
Copepoda	34.7%	12.7%	9.4%
Total Zooplankton (Orgs. / mL)	586	799	877

Table 16. Mount Kemble Lake 2019 Zooplankton Group Percent Abundance Distribution

In April, overall zooplankton density was 586 organisms per milliliter, which is considered moderate, but sample diversity was moderate to high with eight (8) different genera observed. At this time Rotifers accounted for approximately half of the total sample at 55.4% of the total zooplankton community with *Polyarthra* being the most abundant genera. Additionally, a very low density of Cladocerans were observed, while a moderate density of Copepoda were observed as they accounted for over a quarter of the total sample.

The June sampling once again revealed a moderate density of zooplankton as there were 799 organisms per milliliter. The Rotifer genera were the most commonly found accounting for over three quarters of the total sample at 83.6% of the total with the genera *Kellicottia* being the most commonly found within the group. At this time zooplankton diversity is considered moderate to high as a total of eight (8) different genera were found in the sample. Copepoda accounted for 12.7% of the total sample. The Cladocera genera only accounted for 3.6% of the total sample.

The final sampling of the season showed that the zooplankton composition was still considered moderate to high as nine (9) different genera were observed once. The density of zooplankton observed was similar to the previous sampling with a total of 887 orgs/mL. Rotifers made of 86.7% of the zooplankton composition with *Ascomorpha* being the most abundant in the sample. The Cladoceran, *Daphnia* made up a very small portion of the of the total sample at 2.9%. There was only 9.4% of the total sample consisting of Copepoda with the genera *Nauplius* being the most commonly observed in the sample.

#### DISCUSSION

The 2020 management program of Mount Kemble Lake will continue to focus on the control of nuisance densities of plant and algae growth. The target aquatic macrophyte species observed at Mount Kemble Lake in 2019, southern naiad (*N. guadalupensis*) as well as curly-leaf pondweed (*P. crispus*). Compared to previous seasons, growth was observed much less frequently and in lower densities. **Reward/Tribune** should continue to be utilized through the season for its ability to selectively control nuisance submerged vegetation by rapid absorption into the target plant. **Schooner** (flumioxazin) can also be utilized a method of control, especially for

smaller target areas as it can provide control in areas that are heavily disturbed. In addition, it is beneficial to allow certain amounts of plants to persist in the lake to provide dissolved oxygen,

- 18 -

fish habitat, and compete for nutrients required for nuisance plant and algae development. The growth of leafy pondweed and southern naiad should be encouraged in areas of the lake where they are not interrupting recreational activities or reducing the aesthetic appeal of the lake.

**Copper sulfate** will continue to provide the most a cost-effective management method for controlling nuisance density filamentous and planktonic algae growth. **Copper Sulfate** has acknowledged negative impacts on zooplankton populations, with localized targeted applications recommended for only nuisance growth of filamentous algae, and limited use on planktonic algae blooms only at times when water clarity is significantly impaired. In 2019, only one **Copper Sulfate** treatment was conducted as well as only one **Cutrine Plus** application, both for filamentous algae growth. Numerous other copper and non-copper based algaecides are available and at the request of the Association, SŌLitude Lake Management would be happy to discuss these alternatives. Planktonic algal densities were much lower than the 2018 season, however, Cutrine Plus is an effective way to control these planktonic blooms as it hangs around in the water column longer than **Copper Sulfate**.

The management program for 2020 is anticipated to be similar to the monitoring program that was utilized this year, which included at least twice per month lake surveys during the height of the growing season, including lake-wide assessment of the submersed aquatic plant community. An aluminum sulfate treatment was performed during the 2019 season and there was a noticeable difference in planktonic algae growth and overall water clarity. The water clarity was at considered good throughout the season and the algal densities were much lower including blue-green algae, which was only observed in one sample at a low density. Alum is strongly recommended in 2020 as not only were water clarity and algae growth reduced, overall phosphorus levels were lower than the previous year. The reduction in overall phosphorus will lead to less plant and algae growth as it is the limiting resource in all aquatic habitats. Continued monitoring of the inlet pond will help to understand the amount of phosphorus that is entering Mt. Kemble Lake and management strategies can be designed using that information. It is also recommended to perform phosphorus mitigation in the upstream pond to manage phosphorous concentrations closer to the source of the phosphorous introduction which will help reduce the concentration that is entering the lake.

The current Mount Kemble Lake Water Quality Monitoring Program is well-designed, and provides suitable water quality data allowing for proactive management of the lakes' environment and reduces the opportunity for the development of problematic situations. It is important to continue water quality monitoring on a regular yearly basis over the long-term to build a baseline data record which will assist biologists in developing more quantitative analysis for greatest possible management procedures.

SŌLitude Lake Management appreciates the opportunity to be of service to the Mount Kemble Lake Association and looks forward to assisting the Association on the stewardship of Mount Kemble Lake in the 2020 lake management season.

Sincerely, Carl Cummins Carl Cummins Environmental Scientist



# **APPENDIX**

APPENDIX A: WATER QUALITY PARAMETER DESCRIPTION APPENDIX B: AQUATIC MACROPHYTE GUIDE APPENDIX C: WATER QUALITY SAMPLING MAP APPENDIX D: PHYTOPLANKTON ENUMERATION CHARTS APPENDIX E: ZOOPLANKTON ENUMERATION CHARTS APPENDIX F: DISSOLVED OXYGEN – TEMP. PROFILES APPENDIX G: LAB DATA REPORTS

# **APPENDIX A: WATER QUALITY PARAMETER DESCRIPTIONS**

#### Temperature

Temperature is measured in degrees Celsius, and is very important to aquatic biota. Several factors affect temperature in a lake system, including air temperature, season, wind, water flow through the system, and shade trees. Turbidity can also increase water temperature as suspended particles absorb sun rays more efficiently. Water depth also affects temperature. In general, deeper water remains cooler during the summer months.

Temperature preferences vary among aquatic biota. Since water temperature typically varies between 5 °C and 30 °C during the season, most aquatic biota can flourish under this wide range of temperatures. Of more concern is thermal shock, which occurs when temperature rapidly changes in a short amount of time. Some aquatic biota can become stressed when temperature changes as little as 1-2 °C in a 24 hour period.

#### **Dissolved Oxygen**

Dissolved Oxygen is the measurement of the amount of oxygen freely available to aquatic biota in water. Several factors play a role in affecting the amount of dissolved oxygen in the water. These factors include temperature (warmer water holds less dissolved oxygen), low atmospheric pressure (such as higher altitude) decreases the solubility of oxygen, mineral content of the water can reduce the water's dissolved oxygen capacity, and water mixing (via wind, flow over rocks, or thermal upwelling) increases dissolved oxygen in the water. In addition, an over abundance of organic matter, such as dead algae or plants causes rapid aerobic bacteria growth. During this growth, bacteria consume oxygen during respiration, which can cause the water's dissolved oxygen to decrease.

Dissolved oxygen has a wide range, from 0 mg/L to 20 mg/L. To support diverse aquatic biota, 5-6 mg/L is minimally required, but 9-10 mg/L is an indicator of better overall water quality. Dissolved oxygen reading of below 4 mg/L is stressful to most aquatic organisms, especially fish.

#### Water Clarity

Transparency (or visibility) is measured with a Secchi disc, and can provide an experienced biologist with a quick determination of a lake's water quality. In short, higher visibility indicates a cleaner (and healthier) aquatic system. Cloudy conditions could indicate nutrient rich sediments entering the lake or excessive algal blooms due to nutrient availability, leading to a degradation of water quality.

Clear conditions allow greater light penetration and the establishment of a deeper photic zone. The photic zone is the depth of active photosynthesis carried out by plants and algae. A byproduct of photosynthesis is dissolved oxygen, required for use by higher aquatic organisms, such as zooplankton and fish.



### **Total Hardness**

Hardness is a measure of dissolved salts in the water, usually calcium, but also magnesium and iron. Hardness is usually influenced by the rock and soil types of the watershed, and the amount of runoff over these surfaces. Hardness can be measured for only calcium content (Hardness (Ca)), or for all three salts, called Total Hardness. Water with Hardness (Ca) less than 10 mg/L can only support sparse aquatic biota. Freshwater typically has a Hardness (Ca) level from 4 to 100 mg/L. In general, the degree of total hardness can be classified according to the table to the right.

# Alkalinity

Alkalinity is the measure of the water's capacity to neutralize acids. A higher alkalinity can buffer the water against rapid pH changes, which in turn prevents undue stress on aquatic biota due to fluctuating pH levels. The alkalinity of a lake is primarily a function of the watersheds soil and rock composition. Limestone, dolomite and calcite are all a source of alkalinity. High levels of precipitation in a short amount of time can decrease the waters alkalinity. A typical freshwater lake has an alkalinity of 20-200 mg/L. A lake with a low alkalinity typically also has a low pH, which can limit the diversity of aquatic biota.

# pН

The measurement of acidity or alkalinity of the water is called pH (the "potential for hydrogen"). Several factors can impact the pH of a lake, including precipitation in a short amount of time, rock and soil composition of the watershed, algal blooms (increase the pH), and aquatic plant decomposition (decreases the pH). A pH level of 6.5 to 7.5 is considered excellent, but most lake systems fall in the range of 6.0 to 8.5. Aquatic biota can become stressed if the pH drops below 6.0, or increases above 8.5 for an extended amount of time.

Most aquatic biota are adapted to specific pH ranges. When the pH fluctuates rapidly, it can cause changes in aquatic biota diversity. Immature stages of aquatic insects and juvenile fish are more sensitive to low pH values than their adult counterparts. Therefore, a low pH can actually inhibit the hatch rate and early development of these organisms.

### Conductivity

Conductivity is the measure of water's ability to conduct an electrical current, and is measured in umhos/cm, the higher the number of charged particles(ions) in the water, the easier for electricity to pass through it. Conductivity is useful in lake management by estimating the dissolved ionic matter in the water, the lower the conductivity, the higher the quality of water (oligotrophic). A higher conductivity usually indicates an abundance of plant nutrients (total phosphorous and nitrate), or eutrophic conditions. Industrial discharge, road salt runoff, and septic tank leaching can increase conductivity. Distilled water has a conductivity of 0.5 to 2.0 umhos/cm, while drinking water conductivity typically ranges from 50to 1,500 umhos/cm. Conductivity below 500 umhos/cm is considered ideal in a lake system.

#### Nitrate

Nitrates are chemical compounds derived from nitrogen and oxygen. Nitrogen is needed by all plants and animals to make proteins needed for growth and reproduction. Nitrates are generated during plant and animal decomposition, from man-made sources, and from livestock and waterfowl sources. Man-made sources of nitrates include septic system leaching, fertilizer runoff, and improperly treated wastewater. Freshwater lake systems can potentially receive large nitrate inputs from waterfowl, specifically large flocks of Canada geese. An increase in nitrate levels can in turn cause an increase in total phosphorous levels. A nitrate level greater than 0.3 mg/L can promote excessive growth of aquatic plants and algae.

#### **Total Phosphorous**

Total phosphorous is a chemical compound derived from phosphorous and oxygen. Total phosphorous is usually present in freshwater in low concentrations, and is often the limiting nutrient to aquatic plant growth. However, man-made sources of phosphorous include septic system leaching, fertilizer runoff, and improperly treated wastewater. These phosphorous inputs usually enter a freshwater lake system during rain events, and bank erosion.

A total phosphorous level greater than 0.03 mg/L can promote excessive aquatic plant growth and decomposition, either in the form of algal blooms, or nuisance quantities of aquatic plants. This process is called eutrophication, and when induced or sped up by man-made nutrient inputs, it is called cultural eutrophication. As a result of this excessive growth, recreational activities, such as swimming, boating, and fishing in the lake can be negatively impacted. In addition, aerobic bacteria will thrive under these conditions, causing a decrease in dissolved oxygen levels which can negatively impact aquatic biota such as fish.

### **Total Suspended Solids**

Total suspended solids refer to all of the particulate matter suspended in the water column. When these solids settle to the bottom of a water body (a process called siltation), they become sediments. There are two components that make up total suspended solids: inorganic and organic. The inorganic portion is usually considerably higher than the organic portion and includes silts, clays, and soils. Organic solids include algae, zooplankton, bacteria and organic debris. All these solids create turbid (or "muddy") conditions. The geology and vegetation of a watershed affect the amount of suspended solids that enter a lake system. Most suspended solids originate from accelerated soil erosion from agricultural operations, logging activities, and construction activities. Another source is the disturbance of bottom sediments from dredging activities, grazing of bottom feeding fish, and recreational activities such as boating.

#### Ammonia

Ammonia is a type of nitrogen compound used by plants and algae to support growth. Ammonia content in a body of water is influenced by decaying plants and animals, animal waste, industrial waste effluent, agricultural runoff, and atmospheric nitrogen gas transfer. A concentration exceeding 0.30 mg/L can promote excessive plant and algae growth. Elevated ammonia levels can increase nitrification, which in turn depletes the oxygen content of water. Extremely high ammonia

#### levels can be toxic to aquatic biota (such as fish). **APPENDIX B: AQUATIC MACROPHYTE GUIDE**

**Small Duckweed** (*Lemna minor*. Common Names: Small duckweed, water lentil, lesser duckweed. **Native.**). Small duckweed is a free floating plant, with round to oval-shaped leaf bodies typically referred to as fronds. The fronds are small (typically less than 0.5 cm in diameter), and it can occur in large densities that can create a dense mat on the water's surface. Each frond contains three faint nerves, a single root (a characteristic used to distinguish it from other duckweeds), and no stem. Although it can produce flowers, it usually reproduces via budding at at a tremendous rate. Its population



can double in three to five days. Since it is free floating, it drifts with the wind or water current, and is often found intermixed with other duckweeds. Since it's not attached to the sediment, it derives nutrients directly from the water, and is often associated with eutrophic conditions. It over winters by producing turions late in the season. Small duckweed is extremely nutritious and can provide up to 90% of the dietary needs for waterfowl. It's also consumed by muskrat, beaver and fish, and dense mats of duckweed can actually inhibit mosquito breeding.



**Curly-leaf Pondweed** (*Potamogeton crispus*. Common Name: curly-leaf pondweed. **Invasive.**): Curly-leaf pondweed has spaghetti-like stems that often reach the surface by mid-June. Its submersed leaves are oblong, and attached directly to the stem in an alternate pattern. The margins of the leaves are wavy and finely serrated, hence its name. No floating leaves are produced. Curly-leaf pondweed can tolerate turbid water conditions better than most other macrophytes. In late summer, Curly-leaf pondweed enters its summer dormancy stage. It naturally dies off (often creating a sudden loss of habitat and releasing nutrients into the water to fuel algae growth) and produces vegetative buds called turions. These turions germinate when the water gets cooler in the autumn and give way to a winter growth form that

allows it to thrive under ice and snow cover, providing habitat for fish and invertebrates.



**Leafy Pondweed** (*Potamogeton foliosus*: Common Name: leafy pondweed. **Native**.): Leafy pondweed has freely branched stems that hold slender submersed leaves that become slightly more narrow as they approach the stem. The leaf contains 3-5 veins and often tapers to a point. No floating leaves are produced. It produces early season fruits in tight clusters on short stalks in the leaf axils. These early season fruits are often the first grazed upon by waterfowl during the season. Muskrat, beaver, deer and even moose also graze on the fruit. It inhabits a wide range of


habitats, but usually prefers shallow water. It has a high tolerance for eutrophic conditions, allowing it to even colonize secondary water treatment ponds.


**Southern Naiad** (*Najas guadalupensis*. Common Names: Southern water nymph, bushy pondweed. **Native**.): Southern naiad is an annual aquatic plant that can form dense stands of rooted vegetation. Its ribbon-like leaves are dark-green to greenish-purple, and are wider and less pointed than slender naiad. Flowers occur at the base of the leaves, but are so small, they usually require magnification to detect. Southern naiad is widely distributed, but is less common than slender naiad in northern zones. Southern naiad reproduces by seeds and fragmentation.




# **APPENDIX D: PHYTOPLANKTON ENUMERATION CHARTS**

			MIC	ROSCOPIC EXAMI		N OF	WAT	ER		<u> </u>	<u>i -</u>				
Sample from: Mour	nt Kem	ble Lał			-	-									
Collection Date: 4/1	8/201	9		Examination Date:	4/19/2	2019		Amount Examined:	200	ml.					
Site A: Lake Station	n - Sur	face		Site B: Lake Statio	n - Bo	ttom		Site C:							
BACILLARIOPHYT A (Diatoms)	Α	В	С	CHLOROPHYTA (Green Algae)	A	в	с	CYANOPHYTA (Blue-green Algae)	.) А В						
Asterionella	40	110		Ankistrodesmus				Anabaena							
Cyclotella				Chlamydomonas				Anacystis							
Cymbella				Chlorella				Aphanizomenon							
Diatoma				Chlorococcum				Coelosphaerium							
Fragilaria				Closterium				Gomphosphseria							
Melosira				Coelastrum		10		Lyngbya							
Navicula	40	130		Eudorina				Microcystis							
Nitzschia				Mougeotia				Oscillatoria							
Pinnularia	20	10		Oedogonium				Pseudoanabaena							
Urosolenia				Oocystis				Synechocystis							
Stephanodiscus				Pandorina				Agmenellum							
Stauroneis				Pediastrum											
Synedra				Phytoconis				PROTOZOA							
Tabellaria				Rhizoclonium				Actinophyrs							
Cocconeis				Scenedesmus											
CHRYSOPHYTA				Spirogyra				EUGLENOPHYTA							
(Golden Algae)	A	В	С	Staurastrum				(Euglenoids)	Α	В	С				
Dinobryon				Sphaerocystis				Euglena							
Mallomonas				Ulothrix				Phacus							
Synura				Volvox				Trachelomonas							
Tribonema				Zygnema											
Uroglenopsis				Aulacoseira											
				Microtinium				PYRRHOPHYTA		_					
				Cosmerium				(Dinoflagellates)	Α	В	С				
								Ceratium			1				
								Peridinium							
SITE	Α	В	С	NOTES: This was	l the firs	I st sam	pling (	event of 2019. Algal	dens	ity wa	<u> </u> s				
TOTAL GENERA:	3	4		considered low at l	ooth si	tes. A	Igal di	versity was also obs	serve	d as lo					
TRANSPARENCY:	5.0'	5.0'						mainly of diatoms w y. Water clarity was			fair				
ORGANISMS PER MILLILITER:	100	260		at this time.						-					







			MIC		ΝΑΤΙΟ	N OF	WAT	ER		- 28	-			
Sample from: Mt. K	emble	Lake												
		Lano			0/5/00	10								
Collection Date: 6/4	/2019			Examination Date:	6/5/20	19		Amount Examined:	200	mi.				
Site A: Lake Statior	1			Site B:				Site C:						
BACILLARIOPHYT A (Diatoms)	Α	В	С	CHLOROPHYTA (Green Algae)	A	в	с	CYANOPHYTA (Blue-green Algae)						
Asterionella	40			Ankistrodesmus				Anabaena						
Cyclotella				Chlamydomonas				Anacystis						
Cymbella				Chlorella				Aphanizomenon						
Diatoma				Chlorococcum				Coelosphaerium						
Fragilaria				Closterium				Gomphosphseria						
Melosira				Coelastrum				Lyngbya						
Navicula				Eudorina				Microcystis						
Nitzschia				Mougeotia				Oscillatoria						
Pinnularia				Oedogonium				Pseudoanabaena						
Urosolenia				Oocystis				Synechocystis						
Stephanodiscus				Pandorina				Agmenellum						
Stauroneis				Pediastrum										
Synedra	60			Phytoconis				PROTOZOA						
Tabellaria				Rhizoclonium				Actinophyrs						
Cocconeis				Scenedesmus										
CHRYSOPHYTA		_		Spirogyra				EUGLENOPHYTA		_				
(Golden Algae)	Α	В	С	Staurastrum				(Euglenoids)	Α	В	С			
Dinobryon				Sphaerocystis				Euglena						
Mallomonas	270			Ulothrix				Phacus	170					
Synura				Volvox				Trachelomonas						
Tribonema				Zygnema										
Uroglenopsis				Aulacoseira										
				Microtinium				PYRRHOPHYTA		-	-			
				Cosmerium				(Dinoflagellates)	Α	В	С			
	1		1		1			Ceratium	10		1			
			1		1		1	Peridinium			1			
SITE	A	В	С	NOTES: Since the	last sa	mplin	a ever	ht, algal density incre	eased	and is	now			
TOTAL GENERA:	5			considered modera	ate. Alg	gal div	ersity	also increased but re	emain	s low.	The			
TRANSPARENCY:	5.0'							n algae and eugleno s unchanged from th						
ORGANISMS PER MILLILITER:	550			event and is consid			,	<u>.</u>			5			



			MIC	ROSCOPIC EXAMI	ΝΑΤΙΟ	N OF	WATE	ER					
Sample from: Mt. Ke	emble l	Lake											
Collection Date: 8/8	/2019			Examination Date:	8/8/20	19		Amount Examined: 200 ml.					
Site A: Lake Station		Site B: Inlet Statior	۱			Site C:							
BACILLARIOPHYT A (Diatoms)	Α	В	С	CHLOROPHYTA (Green Algae)	A	в	С	CYANOPHYTA (Blue-green Algae)	Α	В	С		
Asterionella				Ankistrodesmus				Anabaena					
Cyclotella				Chlamydomonas				Anacystis					
Cymbella				Chlorella				Aphanizomenon					
Diatoma				Chlorococcum				Coelosphaerium					
Fragilaria				Closterium				Gomphosphseria					
Melosira				Coelastrum				Lyngbya					
Navicula		30		Eudorina				Microcystis		50			
Nitzschia				Mougeotia				Oscillatoria					
Pinnularia		30		Oedogonium				Pseudoanabaena					
Urosolenia				Oocystis				Synechocystis					
Stephanodiscus	40			Pandorina				Agmenellum					
Stauroneis				Pediastrum									
Synedra	20	30		Phytoconis				PROTOZOA					
Tabellaria				Rhizoclonium				Actinophyrs					
Cocconeis				Scenedesmus									
CHRYSOPHYTA		_	-	Spirogyra				EUGLENOPHYTA	_	_			
(Golden Algae)	Α	В	С	Staurastrum				(Euglenoids)	Α	В	С		
Dinobryon				Sphaerocystis				Euglena					
Mallomonas				Ulothrix	130	160		Phacus					
Synura				Volvox				Trachelomonas					
Tribonema				Zygnema									
Uroglenopsis				Aulacoseira									
				Microtinium				PYRRHOPHYTA	_	_			
				Cosmerium				(Dinoflagellates)	Α	В	С		
								Ceratium	20	10			
								Peridinium	330	90			
				Ī									
							1						
SITE	Α	В	С					t, algal density at the					
TOTAL GENERA:	5	7						rate. Density at the i					
TRANSPARENCY:	7.0'	NA		at site B is conside	red mo	derate	e. The	site A and is conside assemblage consist	ts mai	nly of	-		
ORGANISMS PER MILLILITER:	540	400						oms. Traces of blue- ased at the lake stati			were		


30 -






# **APPENDIX E: ZOOPLANKTON ENUMERATION CHARTS**


nkton Count	Results						$\sim \overline{\frown}$		
							59		JDE
Kemble Lake		Date: 4/18/19					LAKE	MANAG	EMENT
				Replicate		Total/3	x1000 mL	Water	# organisms
Order	Family	Genus	Α	В	С	(# per mL)	(=1L)	sampled (L)	per L
Ploima	Brachionidae	Keratella		4	1	1.67	1667	68.8	24
		Kellicottia		1		0.33	333	68.8	5
	Synchaetidae	Polyarthra	6	11	15	10.67	10667	68.8	155
Flosculariacea	Filinadae	Filinia	13	10	6	9.67	9667	68.8	141
								Total:	325
Cladocera	Bodminidae	Bosmina	4	4	4	4.00	4000	68.8	58
						0.00	0	68.8	0
								Total:	58
Cyclopoida	Cyclopoidae	Microcyclops	3	3	4	3.33	3333	68.8	48
		Nauplius	7	10	12	9.67	9667	68.8	141
Calanoida	Diaptomidae	Leptodiaptomus		1	2	1.00	1000	68.8	15
						0.00	0	68.8	0
								Total:	203
		Total Organisms per L	Rotifera	%	Cladocera	%	Copepoda	%	
		586	325	55.4%	58	9.9%	203	34.7%	
	Kemble Lake Order Ploima Flosculariacea Cladocera Cladocera	Order     Family       Ploima     Brachionidae       Synchaetidae     Synchaetidae       Flosculariacea     Filinadae       Cladocera     Bodminidae       Cyclopoida     Cyclopoidae	Kemble Lake       Date: 4/18/19         Order       Family       Genus         Ploima       Brachionidae       Keratella         Synchaetidae       Polyarthra         Flosculariacea       Filinadae       Filinia         Cladocera       Bodminidae       Bosmina         Cladocera       Bodminidae       Bosmina         Cyclopoida       Cyclopoidae       Microcyclops         Nauplius       Calanoida       Diaptomidae       Leptodiaptomus	Kemble Lake       Date: 4/18/19         Order       Family       Genus       A         Ploima       Brachionidae       Keratella	Kemble Lake       Date: 4/18/19         Order       Family       Genus       A       B         Ploima       Brachionidae       Keratella       4       4         Ploima       Brachionidae       Keratella       4       4         Synchaetidae       Polyarthra       6       11         Flosculariacea       Filinadae       Filinia       13       10         Cladocera       Bodminidae       Bosmina       4       4         Cladocera       Bodminidae       Bosmina       4       4         Cyclopoida       Cyclopoidae       Microcyclops       3       3         Nauplius       7       10       1         Calanoida       Diaptomidae       Leptodiaptomus       1         Total Organisms per L       Rotifera       %	Kemble Lake       Date: 4/18/19       Replicate         Order       Family       Genus       A       B       C         Ploima       Brachionidae       Keratella       4       1         Synchaetidae       Polyarthra       6       11       15         Flosculariacea       Filinadae       Filinia       13       10       6         Cladocera       Bodminidae       Bosmina       4       4       4         Cyclopoida       Cyclopoidae       Microcyclops       3       3       4         Nauplius       7       10       12       2         Clanoida       Diaptomidae       Leptodiaptomus       1       2         Total Organisms per L       Rotifera       %       Cladocera	Kemble Lake       Date: 4/18/19       Replicate       Total/3         Order       Family       Genus       A       B       C       (# per mL)         Ploima       Brachionidae       Keratella       4       1       1.67         Ploima       Brachionidae       Keratella       4       1       0.33         Synchaetidae       Polyarthra       6       11       15       10.67         Flosculariacea       Filinadae       Filinia       13       10       6       9.67         Cladocera       Bodminidae       Bosmina       4       4       4       4.00         Cladocera       Bodminidae       Bosmina       4       4       4       4.00         Cyclopoida       Cyclopoidae       Microcyclops       3       3       4       3.33         Mauplius       7       10       12       9.67         Calanoida       Diaptomidae       Leptodiaptomus       1       2       1.00         Total Organisms per L       Rotifera       %       Cladocera       %	Kemble LakeDate: 4/18/19OrderFamilyGenusABC(# per mL)(= 1 L)PloimaBrachionidaeKeratella411.671667SynchaetidaePolyarthra6111510.6710667FlosculariaceaFilinadaeFilinia131069.679667CladoceraBodminidaeBosmina4444.004000CladoceraBodminidaeBosmina4444.004000CyclopoidaCyclopoidaeMicrocyclops3343.333333CalanoidaDiaptomidaeLeptodiaptomus121.001000Total Organisms per LRotifera%Cladocera%Copepoda	Kemble LakeDate: 4/18/19Image: Constraint of the system of the sys




Zooplar	nkton Count	Results						$c\bar{\frown}$		
										JDE
Site: Mt.	Kemble Lake		Date: 6/4/19					LAKE	MANAE	EMENT
					Replicate		Total/3	x1000 mL	Water	# organisms
Group	Order	Family	Genus	Α	В	С	(# per mL)	(=1L)	sampled (L)	per L
Rotifera	Ploima	Brachionidae	Keratella	10	28	19	19.00	19000	68.8	276
			Kellicottia	28	27	21	25.33	25333	68.8	368
		Synchaetidae	Polyarthra	1	4		1.67	1667	68.8	24
									Total:	669
Cladocera	Cladocera	Bodminidae	Bosmina	3		2	1.67	1667	68.8	24
		Daphniidae	Daphnia	1			0.33	333	68.8	5
							0.00	0	68.8	0
									Total:	29
Copepoda	Cyclopoida	Cyclopoidae	Microcyclops	5	2	2	3.00	3000	68.8	44
•••			Nauplius	6	3	2	3.67	3667	68.8	53
	Calanoida	Diaptomidae	Leptodiaptomus		1		0.33	333	68.8	5
							0.00	0	68.8	0
									Total:	102
			Total Organisms per L	Rotifera	%	Cladocera	%	Copepoda	%	
			799	669	83.6%	29	3.6%	102	12.7%	



Zooplar	kton Count	Results						$c\bar{\frown}$		
							1			JDE
Site: Mt. I	Kemble Lake		Date: 8/8/19					LAKE	MANAG	EMENT
					Replicate		Total/3	x1000 mL	Water	# organisms
Group	Order	Family	Genus	Α	В	С	(# per mL)	(=1L)	sampled (L)	per L
Rotifera	Ploima	Brachionidae	Keratella	5	9	6	6.67	6667	68.8	97
		Gastropidae	Ascomorpha	37	39	36	37.33	37333	68.8	543
		Synchaetidae	Polyarthra		1		0.33	333	68.8	5
		Trichocercidae	Trichocerca	9	7	3	6.33	6333	68.8	92
	Flosculariacea	Conochilidae	Conochilus		3	2	1.67	1667	68.8	24
									Total:	761
Cladocera	Cladocera	Bodminidae	Bosmina	1			0.33	333	68.8	5
		Daphniidae	Daphnia	1	2	3	2.00	2000	68.8	29
		•					0.00	0	68.8	0
									Total:	34
Copepoda	Cyclopoida	Cyclopoidae	Microcyclops	1			0.33	333	68.8	5
			Nauplius	5	6	5	5.33	5333	68.8	78
							0.00	0	68.8	0
									Total:	82
			Total Organisms per L	Rotifera	%	Cladocera	%	Copepoda	%	
			877	761	86.7%	34	3.9%	82	9.4%	





# **APPENDIX F: DISSOLVED OXYGEN – TEMP. PROFILES**

